

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/770,577	01/26/2001	Larry A. Brocious	6169-145	7601
7590 11/04/2003			EXAMINER	
Gregory A. Nelson Akerman Senterfitt			ABEBE, DANIEL DEMELASH	
222 Lakeview Avenue, Fourth Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 3188			2655	5
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/770,577	BROCIOUS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel D Abebe	2655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated to the period by the Office later than three months after the mate armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	inor					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		pplication No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language ☐ 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome						
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s 	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/770,577

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kawasaki et al. (6,076,061).

As to claims 1, Kawasaki teaches a method of completing user input, comprising the steps of:

Receiving user input specifying selection attribute (Fig.1, 103);

Comparing user input with a set of selection (application set) (Fig.3, 307, 310);

Limiting the selection according to the first input and matching user's utterance only with items in the selected set (Figs. 3-8; Col.5, line 60-Col.6, line 3; Col.7, lines 30-42).

As to claims 2-6, Kawasaki teaches repeating the process, a display for notifying user selection, and where the attributes specify characters/icons having a related functions such ac a calendar and a calculator (Fig.7-9).

Application/Control Number: 09/770,577

Art Unit: 2655

Claims 7-13 are analogous to claims 1-6 and are rejected for the foregoing reasons by Kawasaki et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6, 7 and 9 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakisaka et al. (6,112,174) in view of Kawasaki et al. (6,076,061).

As to claims Wakisaka teaches in a speech recognition system, a method of selecting the relevant vocabulary model for speech recognition system for completing user input, comprising the steps of:

Receiving input regarding an attribute to a selection (Fig.1, 102);

Comparing the input with a set of selection in the system (Fig.3B, 306), and selecting a dictionary from a plurality of dictionary sets (Fig.1, 103); and

matching user utterance only to the words in the selected dictionary (Fig.1, 107; Col.2, lines 23-45). It is noted that the input in Wakisak's art for selecting the dictionary is a GPS position system and not manual. However, Kawasaki teaches where user input (view) is used to select a dictionary as shown above (Fig.1 and 7-9). And It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to let the user select the dictionary in Wakisaka's teaching, for the purpose of providing

Application/Control Number: 09/770,577

Art Unit: 2655

an alternative means to the GPS, especially under circumstances where a GPS is not available.

Response to Remarks

The rejection regarding the title and the claim objection are withdrawn in view of applicant's response.

Also the rejection of the claims based on Baker's patent is withdrawn in view applicant's submitted declaration.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel D Abebe whose telephone number is 703-308-5543. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on 703-305-4827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

DANIEL ABEBE PRIMARY EXAMINER

October 30, 2003